| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8 | 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5337 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant  BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED |   |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| 9                                    | DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS<br>STATE OF CALIFORNIA  |   |  |  |
| 11                                   |  | e No. 2013 - 597  |  |  |
| 12                                   | PRINCE SINGH SOHAL ST.   | ATEMENT OF ISSUES   |  |  |
| 13                                   | Applicant for Registered Nurse License   |   |  |  |
| 14                                   | Respondent.  |   |  |  |
| 15                                   |  |   |  |  |
| 16                                   | Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., R.N. ("Complainant") alleges:   |   |  |  |
| 17                                   | <u>PARTIES</u>   |   |  |  |
| 18                                   | 1. Complainant brings this Statement of Issues so  | lely in her official capacity as the  |  |  |
| 19                                   | Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing ("Bo  | pard"), Department of Consumer  |  |  |
| 20                                   | Affairs.   |   |  |  |
| 21                                   | 2. On or about March 30, 2012, the Board received  | ed an application for a Registered  |  |  |
| 22                                   | Nurse License from Prince Singh Sohal ("Respondent").  | Nurse License from Prince Singh Sohal ("Respondent"). On or about March 27, 2012, |  |  |
| 23                                   | Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and  |   |  |  |
| 24                                   | representations in the application.  |   |  |  |
| 25                                   | <u>JURISDICTION</u>  |   |  |  |
| 26                                   | 3. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 485, subdivision (b), on   |   |  |  |
| 27                                   | or about June 12, 2012, Respondent's application was denied and he was notified of the right to a  |   |  |  |
| 28                                   | hearing to appeal the denial.  |   |  |  |
|                                      | 11   |   |  |  |

| 1        | 4. On or about July 6, 2012, and July 10, 2012, Respondent requested a hearing to  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2        | appeal the denial of his application.  |  |  |  |  |
| 3        | STATUTORY PROVISIONS   |  |  |  |  |
| 4        | 5. Code-section 2736-provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may deny a license when   |  |  |  |  |
| 5        | it finds that the applicant has committed any acts constituting grounds for denial of licensure  |  |  |  |  |
| 6        | under Code section 480.  |  |  |  |  |
| 7        | 6. Code section 2761 states, in pertinent part:  |  |  |  |  |
| 8        | The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:   |  |  |  |  |
| 10       | (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:  |  |  |  |  |
| 11       | (4) Denial of licensure, revocation, suspension, restriction, or any other   |  |  |  |  |
| 12       | disciplinary action against a health care professional license or certificate by another state or territory of the United States, by any other government agency, or by another  |  |  |  |  |
| 13       | California health care professional licensing board. A certified copy of the decision o judgment shall be conclusive evidence of that action.  |  |  |  |  |
| 14       | (f) Conviction of a felony or of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered nurse, in which event the record of  |  |  |  |  |
| 15       | the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof.   |  |  |  |  |
| 16       | 7. Code section 480 states, in pertinent part:   |  |  |  |  |
| 17<br>18 | (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:  |  |  |  |  |
|          | (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section   |  |  |  |  |
| 19       | means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere.  Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made |  |  |  |  |
| 20       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21       | suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.  |  |  |  |  |
| 22<br>23 | (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.   |  |  |  |  |
| 24       | (3)(A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in  |  |  |  |  |
| 25       | question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.  |  |  |  |  |
| 26       | (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business  |  |  |  |  |
| 27       | or profession for which application is made.   |  |  |  |  |
| 28       |  |  |  |  |  |

#### 

### 

## 

### 

## 

### 

## 1.1

#### 

#### 

#### 

## 

## 

#### 

## 

## 

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

#### (Conviction of a Crime)

- 8. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 2761, subdivision (f), and 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about November 17, 2009, in the case titled *People v. Prince Singh Sohal* (Superior Ct. Sutter County (2009), Case No. CRF-09-0617), Respondent was convicted by the court on his plea of no contest to violating Penal Code section 594, subdivision (b)(1) [vandalism equal to or in excess of \$400.00], a felony. Said crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a licensed registered nurse.
- a. The underlying circumstances of the crime are: On or about November 8, 2009, Respondent and four males, in an orchard in Sutter County, California, beat a 2006 Mazda sedan, belonging to a non-present friend of Respondent's. Law enforcement officers arrived at the scene of the fire and interviewed the five males, including Respondent. The arresting police officer observed that Respondent emitted a strong odor of gasoline. Respondent stated to the officer that he had spilled some gasoline on his clothing while he was fueling his own vehicle. He denied knowledge of the fire. At the scene, the officers located gas containers; the Mazda's license plates, and a bat in the back of a truck in which Respondent was a passenger. The vehicle was damaged or destroyed so that its owner could collect insurance monies.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

#### (Dishonest, Fraudulent, or Deceitful Act)

9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480, subdivision (a)(2), in that he committed a dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful act with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, as set forth in paragraph 8, above.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

#### (Committed Acts Which If Done By a Licentiate)

10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A), in that he committed acts which if done by a licentiate of the profession would constitute grounds for discipline, as follows:

| 1  | a. Code section 2761, subdivision (f): Respondent was convicted of a crime   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a registered nurse, as more fully  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | set forth in paragraph 8, above.   |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | b. <u>Code section 2761, subdivision (a)(4)</u> : Respondent was disciplined by the  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California, in that effective April  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | 23, 2012, the Board of Pharmacy entered a Decision and Order and Stipulated Settlement and   |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | Disciplinary Order ("Order") in a disciplinary proceeding entitled In the Matter of the  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | Accusation Against Prince Sohal Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 68528, Case   |  |  |  |  |
| 9-   | No. 3689, OAH No. 2010050619. The Order revoked Respondent's pharmacy technician   |  |  |  |  |
| 10   | registration, stayed revocation, and placed Respondent on probation for three years, with terms  |  |  |  |  |
| 11   | and conditions. The basis of said discipline is Respondent's criminal conviction, as set forth in  |  |  |  |  |
| 12   | paragraph 8, above. A copy of the Order is attached hereto as <b>Exhibit A</b> and incorporated herein   |  |  |  |  |
| 13   | by reference.  |  |  |  |  |
| 14   | <u>PRAYER</u>  |  |  |  |  |
| 15   | WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,  |  |  |  |  |
| 16   | and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Denote at a southest of Driver Start Schol for a Designary Marco Vicence and   |  |  |  |  |
| 17   | 1. Denying the application of Prince Singh Sohal for a Registered Nurse License, and   |  |  |  |  |
| 17<br>18                                     | 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18   | 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.  DATED: January 31, 2013 Source L. Bailey  |  |  |  |  |
| 18<br>19                                     | DATED: Jawary 31, 2013  DATED: LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., R.N. Executive Officer   |  |  |  |  |
| 18<br>19<br>20                               | DATED: Jawary 31, 2013  DATED: LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., R.N.  Executive Officer  Board of Registered Nursing  State of California  |  |  |  |  |
| 18<br>19<br>20<br>21                         | DATED: January 31, 2013  DATED: January 31, 2013  DOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., R.N. Executive Officer Board of Registered Nursing  |  |  |  |  |
| 18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22                   | DATED: Jawary 31, 2013  DATED: LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., R.N.  Executive Officer  Board of Registered Nursing  State of California  |  |  |  |  |
| 18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23             | 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.  DATED: January 31, 2013  LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., R.N.  Executive Officer  Board of Registered Nursing  State of California |  |  |  |  |
| 18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24       | DATED: Jawary 31, 2013  DATED: LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., R.N.  Executive Officer  Board of Registered Nursing  State of California  |  |  |  |  |
| 18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25 | DATED: Jaway 31, 2013  DATED: Jaway 31, 2013  DATED: LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., R.M. Executive Officer Board of Registered Nursing State of California  Complainant                                    |  |  |  |  |

#### **EXHIBIT A**

Decision and Order - Board of Pharmacy Board Case No. 3689; OAH No. 2010050619

In the Matter of the Accusation Against Prince Sohal, Pharmacy Technician Registration

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 3689

PRINCE SOHAL 6284 E. Andrews Fresno, CA 93727 OAH No. 2010050619

Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 68528

Respondent.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on April 23, 2012.

It is so ORDERED on March 23, 2012.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(. Wasi

Вy

STANLEY C. WEISSER Board President

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (Board Case No. 3689)

4. Accusati

#### JURISDICTION.

4. Accusation No. 3689 was filed before the Board and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on October 4, 2010. Respondent failed to timely file his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation which resulted in the issuing a Default Decision and Order. On March 25, 2011, the Board granted Respondent's request to vacate the Default Decision and Order. A true and correct copy of Accusation No. 3689 is attached hereto, marked Exhibit A, and incorporated herein by reference.

#### ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3689. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### CULPABILITY

8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 3689.

below.

#### RESERVATION

 10. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Board or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

#### CONTINGENCY

.25

- and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

#### DISCIPLINARY ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 68528 issued to Respondent Prince Sohal ("Respondent") is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions.

Certification Prior to Resuming Work. Respondent shall be automatically 1. suspended-from working as a Pharmacy Technician until he is certified as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4202(a)(4) and provides satisfactory proof of certification to the Board. Respondent shall not resume working as a Pharmacy Technician until notified by the Board, Failure to achieve certification within one (1) year shall be considered a violation of probation. Respondent shall not resume working as a Pharmacy Technician until notified by the Board.

During suspension, Respondent shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of any other Board licensed premises (wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, or any other distributor of drugs), any drug manufacturer, or any other location where dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent shall not do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding or dispensing; nor shall Respondent manage, administer, or assist any licensee of the Board. Respondent shall not have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances. Respondent shall not resume work until notified by the Board:

Subject to the above restrictions, Respondent may continue to own or hold an interest in any licensed premises by the Board in which he holds an interest at the time this Decision becomes effective unless otherwise specified in this Order.

Obey all Laws. Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations. Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing, within seventytwo (72) hours of such occurrence:

- a. An arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws;
- b. A plea of guilty or nolo contendre in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information, or indictment;
  - c. A conviction of any crime;
- d. Discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency which involves Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration or which is related to the practice of pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing, or charging for any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report any such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

- 3. Report to the Board. Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the Board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, Respondent shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board.
- 4. Interview with the Board. Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to Board staff, or failure to appear at two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.
- 5. Cooperate with Board Staff. Respondent shall cooperate with the Board's inspection program and with the Board's monitoring and investigation of respondent's compliance

ጸ

10

11

12.

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

2.7 ,28 with the terms and conditions of his probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

Notice to Employers. During the period of probation, Respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in Accusation No. 3689 and the terms. conditions, and restrictions imposed on Respondent by the Decision and Order, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Decision and Order, and within fifteen (15) days of Respondent undertaking any new employment, Respondent shall cause his direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge (including each new pharmacist-in-charge employed during respondent's tenure of employment) and owner to report to the Board in writing acknowledging. that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in Accusation No. 3689 and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be Respondent's responsibility to ensure that his employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgement(s) to the Board.

If Respondent works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent must notify his direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge and owner at every pharmacy of the terms and conditions of the decision in Accusation No. 3689 in advance of the Respondent commencing work at each pharmacy. A record of this notification must be provided to the Board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Decision and Order, and within fifteen (15) days of Respondent undertaking any new employment by or through a pharmacy employment service, Respondent shall cause his direct supervisor with the pharmacy employment service to report to the Board in writing acknowledging that he has read the Decision in Accusation No. 3689 and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be Respondent's responsibility to ensure that his employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the Board.

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or to cause that/those employer(s) to submit timely acknowledgements to the Board shall be considered a violation of probation.

12 13

11

1415

16 17

1,8

19 20

21

2223

2425

2627

28

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, parttime, temporary or relief service or pharmacy management service as a pharmacy technician or in any position for which a pharmacy technician license is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether the respondent is considered an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

7. Reimbursement of Board Costs. As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, Respondent shall pay to the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$3,952.50. Respondent shall make said payments in a payment plan approved by the Board. There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the Board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

- 8. Probation Monitoring Costs. Respondent shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the Board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board on a schedule as directed by the Board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation
- 9. Status of License. Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current pharmacy technician license with the Board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current license shall be considered a violation of probation.

If Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

10. License Surrender While on Probation or Suspension. Following the effective date of this Decision, should Respondent cease work due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may tender his pharmacy

technician license to the Board for surrender. The Board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of the Respondent's license history with the Board.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall relinquish his pharmacy technician license to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the Board that the surrender is accepted. Respondent may not reapply for any license, permit, or registration from the Board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the Board.

11. Notification of a Change in Name, Residence Address, Mailing Address or Employment. Respondent shall notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving, the address of the new employer, the name of the supervisor and owner, and the work schedule if known. Respondent shall further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of a change in name, residence address and mailing address, or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the Board of any change in employer(s), name(s), address(es), or phone number(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

12. Tolling of Probation. Except during periods of suspension, Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a Pharmacy Technician in California for a minimum of twenty (20) hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall toll the period of probation, i.e., the period of probation shall be extended by one month for each month during which this minimum is not met. During any such period of tolling of probation, respondent must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation.

Should Respondent, regardless of residency, for any reason (including vacation) cease working as a Pharmacy Technician for a minimum of twenty (20) hours per calendar month in California, Respondent must notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of cessation of work

21-

and must further notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of the resumption of the work.

Any failure to provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for Respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months.

"Cessation of work" means calendar month during which Respondent is not working for at least twenty (20) hours as a Pharmacy Technician. "Resumption of work" means any calendar month during which Respondent is working as a Pharmacy Technician for at least twenty (20) hours as a Pharmacy Technician as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4115.

13. Violation of Probation. If Respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction over Respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed (revocation).

If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction, and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

- 14. Completion of Probation. Upon written notice by the Board indicating successful completion of probation, Respondent's Pharmacy Technician license will be fully restored.
- 15. No Ownership of Licensed Premises. Respondent shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, or serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the board. Respondent shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (Board Case No. 3689)

///

#### **ENDORSEMENT**

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. 10-26-2011 Respectfully submitted, DATED: 5 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART б Supervising Deputy Attorney General Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant 10 11 12 13 SA2010101169 / 1076836.doc 14. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 . 11 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (Board Case No. 3689)

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 3689

| 1    |   |               | . •                        |  |  |  |
|------|---|---------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1    | EDMUND G. BROWN JR.   |               |                            |  |  |  |
| ·2   | Attorney General of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART  | · .           |                            |  |  |  |
| 3    | Supervising Deputy Attorney General<br>LESLIE A. BURGERMYER   |               |                            |  |  |  |
| 4    | Deputy Attorney General  State Bar No. 117576   | •             |                            |  |  |  |
| 5    | 1300 I Street, Suite 125  |               |                            |  |  |  |
| - {  | P.O. Box 944255<br>Sacramento, CA 94244-2550  | •             |                            |  |  |  |
| 6    | Telephone: (916) 324-5337<br>Facsimile: (916) 327-8643  | •             |                            |  |  |  |
| 7    | Attorneys for Complainant   |               |                            |  |  |  |
| 8    | BEFORE THE  |               |                            |  |  |  |
| 9    | BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  |               |                            |  |  |  |
| io   | STATE OF C  | CALIFORNIA    |                            |  |  |  |
| 11   | 7 1 5 7 1 - 0 | ]<br>         |                            |  |  |  |
| . }  | In the Matter of the Accusation Against:  | Case No. 3689 |                            |  |  |  |
| 12   | PRINCE SINGH SOHAL 5144 W. Austin Way   |               |                            |  |  |  |
| 13   | Fresno, CA 93722  | ACCUSATION    |                            |  |  |  |
| 14   | Pharmacy Technician License   |               |                            |  |  |  |
| 15   | Number 68528  |               |                            |  |  |  |
| 16   | Respondent.   |               |                            |  |  |  |
| 17   |   |               |                            |  |  |  |
| 18   |   |               |                            |  |  |  |
| 19   | Complainant alleges:  |               |                            |  |  |  |
| 20   |   | RTIES         |                            |  |  |  |
| 21   | 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brin   |               | v in her official canacity |  |  |  |
| 22   | '/  | •             |                            |  |  |  |
|      | as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.  |               |                            |  |  |  |
| . 23 | 2. On or about April 6, 2006, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician  |               |                            |  |  |  |
| 24   | Registration Number TCH 68528 to Prince Singh Sohal (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician   |               |                            |  |  |  |
| .25  | Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and   |               |                            |  |  |  |
| 26   | will expire on July 31, 2011, unless renewed.   | •             |                            |  |  |  |
| 27   | <i>III</i>  |               |                            |  |  |  |
| 28   | <i>III</i>  |               |                            |  |  |  |
|      |   | 1             |                            |  |  |  |
|      | Accusation (Case No. 3689)  |               |                            |  |  |  |
|      |   |               |                            |  |  |  |

#### JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business-and-Professions-Code-(Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (a), of the Code states that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
- 5. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board or Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

#### 6. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203,4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

111

2

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.

#### COST RECOVERY

7. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board or Director may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Criminal Conviction)

- 8. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (l), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that on November 17, 2009, Respondent was convicted in the case titled *People v. Prince Singh Sohal*, Sutter County Case No. CRF09-0617, on his plea of no contest to violating Penal Code section 594(b)(1) vandalism/malicious mischief), a felony. Said crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- 9. On or about November 8, 2009, Respondent and four males, in an orchard in Sutter County, California, beat a vehicle with a bat and then set it on fire. The vehicle, a 2006 Mazda sedan, belonged to a non-present sixth male who was a friend of Respondent's. Law enforcement officers arrived at the scene of the fire and interviewed the five males, including Respondent. The arresting police officer observed that Respondent emitted a strong odor of gasoline. Respondent stated to the officer that he had spilled some gasoline on his clothing while he was fueling his own vehicle. He denied knowledge of the fire. The officers located gas containers, the Mazda's license plates, and a bat in the back of a truck, in which Respondent was a passenger, at the scene. Respondent committed said act to assist the owner of the vehicle to obtain insurance monies relating to the vehicle.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Act Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)

10. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (f), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that on or about November 8, 2009,

Respondent committed an act or acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption as set forth in paragraph 9, above, incorporated herein by this reference. 2 PRAYER 3 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: 5 Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 68528, 6 issued to Respondent Prince Singh Sohal. Ordering Respondent Prince Singh Sohal to pay the Board of Pharmacy the 2. reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; 10 Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 11. 12 13 DATED: VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer 14 Board of Pharmacy 15 Department of Consumer Affairs State of California 16 Complainant 17 SA2010101169 / 10574666 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Accusation (Case No. 3689)